

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/931,088		LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
		Zachary A. Davis	2137	

**All Participants:**

(1) Zachary A. Davis.

(2) James Harris (Applicant's representative).

**Date of Interview:** 28 August 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:  
n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a response had been filed to the outstanding notice of non-compliant amendment mailed 13 February 2006. Mr. Harris indicated that no reply had been filed because the notice had not been received.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed after RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)